### U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

+ + + + +

## TELECONFERENCE MEETING

+ + + + +

MONDAY
MARCH 30, 2009

+ + + + +

The Commission convened via teleconference at 11:30 a.m, Gerald A. Reynolds, Chairman, presiding.

### PRESENT:

GERALD A. REYNOLDS, Chairman
ABIGAIL THERNSTROM, Vice Chairman
TODD F. GAZIANO, Commissioner
GAIL L. HERIOT, Commissioner
PETER N. KIRSANOW, Commissioner
ARLAN D. MELENDEZ, Commissioner
ASHLEY L. TAYLOR, JR., Commissioner
MICHAEL YAKI, Commissioner

MARTIN DANNENFELSER, Staff Director

### **STAFF PRESENT:**

DAVID BLACKWOOD, General Counsel
CHRISTOPHER BYRNES, Chief, Programs
 Coordination Unit
DEBRA CARR, Associate Director
DEMITRIA DEAS
PAMELA DUNSTON, Chief, ASCD
MAHA JWEIED
ROBERT LERNER, Assistant Staff Director,
 OCRE
TINALOUISE MARTIN, Director, Office of
 Management
EMMA MONROIG, Solicitor
LENORE OSTROWSKY
KIMBERLY TOLHURST
AUDREY WRIGHT

## COMMISSIONER ASSISTANTS PRESENT:

TIM FAY
DOMINIQUE LUDVIGSON
KIMBERLY SCHULD
RICHARD SCHEMECHEL

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

		PAGE
I.	Approval of Agenda	6
II.	Staff Director's Report	34
	Update on FY09 Appropriation	34
	Update on Statutory Report Status	43
III.	Program Planning	44
	Motion to Respond to EEOC's February 6, 2009 Letter Regarding English-in-the- Workplace Document Request	44
	Motion to Collect and Make Public Data on Federal Government's Civil Rights Enforcement Efforts	53
IV.	State Advisory Committee Issues	67
	Georgia SAC	67
V.	Adjourn	73

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	(11:38 a.m.)
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Good morning.
4	This is Chairman Reynolds.
5	This is a telephonic meeting of the U.S.
6	Commission on Civil Rights. It is 11:38 a.m. Eastern
7	Standard Time on Monday, March 30, 2009.
8	Our Commissioners are participating from
9	different locations. I will call the name of each
10	Commissioner to determine if there is a quorum to hold
11	a meeting. Vice Chair Thernstrom?
12	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Here.
13	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Gaziano?
14	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Here.
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Kirsanow?
16	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Here.
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Heriot?
18	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Here.
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Melendez?
20	Commissioner Melendez?
21	(No response.)
22	Commissioner Yaki? Commissioner Yaki?
23	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'm here. I'm here.
24	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Taylor?
25	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Here.
	NEAL B. ODOGG

1 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. The Staff 2 Director is present. Will the Court Reporter please confirm 3 that she is still on the line? 4 5 THE COURT REPORTER: He is. 6 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Very good. 7 Well, then, the meeting will come 8 to order. If a Commissioner wishes to make 9 statement, please identify yourself first. When it is 10 necessary to take a vote, please state your name, then 11 your vote. After the voting is concluded, I will read 12 back how each of you has voted to ensure that the 13 tally is correct. 14 I want to start off by noting the passing 15 of Dr. John Hope Franklin, who died this past Wednesday at the age of 94. 16 Most recently, 17 Franklin was the James B. Duke Professor Emeritus of 18 History at Duke University, where he taught for a 19 decade. Не is best known for his pioneering 20 scholarship in the area of African-American Studies. 21 Не is the author of numerous books, 22 including "From Slavery to Freedom: A History of

African-Americans," a seminal

text

23

the

black

publication, the book is still relevant, and it is now 1 2 in its seventh edition. Franklin lent scholar, Dr. 3 4 perspective as a historian to Thurgood Marshall and 5 his legal team at the NAACP to help persuade the 6 Supreme Court to outlaw the government's use of racial 7 classifications in public schools and the landmark case of Brown v. Board of Education. 8 9 During his lifetime, Dr. Franklin earned 10 honors too numerous to list, including more than 130 11 honorary degrees, the NAACP's Spingarn Medal, 12 Charles Franklin Prize, and the Presidential Medal of 13 Freedom. He also served as Chairman of President 14 15 Clinton's Initiative on Race. It was said of Dr. 16 Franklin that he gave eloquence and meaning to our 17 ideas, hopes, and dreams of American citizens. 18 we honor his life, his work, and his enduring legacy 19 as we mourn his passing. 20 Next up, approval of the agenda. 21 APPROVAL OF AGENDA I. 22 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I move that we approve 23 the agenda. Is there a second? 24 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Second. This is

Heriot.

1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion?
2	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I would like to
3	offer a motion to amend, Mr. Chairman. This is
4	Abigail Thernstrom, the Vice Chair.
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Please, Abby,
6	go forward and let's hear your proposed amendment.
7	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Okay. As you
8	know, we have received copies of two motions only this
9	past weekend of motions the motion to respond to
10	EEOC
11	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Wait. Don't you
12	have to just make the motion and get a second?
13	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, I'm going to
14	make
15	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: And then you explain
16	it.
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I second it.
18	Continue, Abby.
19	THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. Who was
20	that seconding?
21	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Motion to respond
22	to EEOC's February 6, 2009 letter regarding our
23	English-in-the-Workplace document request. And motion
24	to collect and make public data on the Federal
25	Government's civil rights enforcement efforts.

1 I, for one, would like more time 2 consider these motions. I do believe that receiving 3 them on Saturday is -- in fact, violates our 4 procedural rules, and I move that we strike them from 5 today's agenda, and, instead, put them the 6 April 17th meeting. 7 I have, you know, utmost respect for my colleagues who offer these motions. At first glance, 8 9 they seem to be within the purview of this Commission. But I think it would be useful for Commissioners and 10 11 the senior staff to take the time to get a handle on 12 going to do with this information, what are we 13 assuming we receive any of it. 14 And I also have a concern with our backlog 15 of briefing reports. If we can get some more of these 16 reports out the door, I might feel more comfortable in 17 committing staff resources to the data collection and 18 analysis implied by these motions. 19 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: May I speak to the 20 motion to amend, Mr. Chairman. 21 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Gaziano, 22 go ahead. 23 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: And I also have

another -- a subsequent motion, but after this.

1 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Those may be 2 meritorious objections, although I am not sure the 3 timing one is. I think the timing is. But I would 4 certainly want to discuss the motions. I think we may 5 have enough information to actually vote on them 6 today, but that seems to -- Vice Chair's concerns seem 7 to go to the merits of them. And I certainly think we 8 should --9 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: No, I --10 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I certainly think 11 we should discuss them, have them on the agenda and 12 discuss them, if we have any hope of voting for them 13 at any particular time. So I would like at least a 14 discussion of the motions and see if we can amend 15 them, perfect them, vote on them today, or, if we find 16 merit in the request to postpone, we can at least 17 understand what the basis of -- that we need to do to 18 them, so that we might be able to vote on them next 19 time. 20 THE COURT REPORTER: This is the Court 21 Reporter. Who was that speaking? 22 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Gaziano.

THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry?

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Todd Gaziano.

THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you.

23

24

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: This is Kirsanow. a question as have to when it is that received Commissioners copies of these proposed motions. VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Saturday morning. COMMISSIONER HERIOT: But there was an announcement that there would be such motions. It is just Saturday morning is the time we got the exact wording. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: That's correct. This is Chairman Reynolds. It is also important to note that these are not lengthy documents. COMMISSIONER HERIOT: And I think it is worth pointing out as well that there had been a request to put this on the meeting from March, and that was postponed. So this would be the second postponement. VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, I mean, it have a preference in general for discussing things in person, of course, than on the phone. we need to review why we are asking for them, what we are going to do with them, and our priorities at the In other words, our purpose, our goal, and how it fits -- how they fit into the -- I mean,

this is -- you are asking here for quite a document

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 dump. How this, you know, fits in with the work 2 priorities of the staff and our own priorities as Commissioners. 3 4 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I agree that it is 5 better to discuss things in person, and that is why I 6 wanted to discuss it at our prior meeting. But I was 7 willing to do this. 8 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Gail, let's just make 9 sure the Court Reporter knows who you are. 10 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I'm Gail. 11 (Laughter.) 12 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Heriot. That was Commissioner Heriot. 13 Now, Vice Chair Thernstrom, you bring up 14 15 some important points, but I think that that -- we should have that discussion. You --16 17 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I am not prepared to have it without a lot more information. And I do 18 19 think we would be fully informed by the staff at the 20 next meeting. 21 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Vice Chair Thernstrom, 22 are you expecting additional paper? Because I believe 23 that this is all that is coming. 24 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: No. I think that 25 my impression anyway is -- and I may be wrong -- that

really think that this requires a fuller discussion 2 3 than anybody has the patience for on the phone. 4 COMMISSIONER YAKI: This is Commissioner 5 Yaki. I would just like to second what Commissioner 6 saying. I don't Thernstrom is know about 7 schedules, but I am not budgeting four hours or three hours for this particular meeting. I have a lot of 8 9 other things that are on my calendar today. if this is going to be 10 So longer 11 discussion, and as I have been watching this, and if 12 the materials themselves may not be that lengthy, the 13 history behind these materials and why and wherefore, 14 and how it fits in with our entire work schedule, as 15 the Vice Chair has pointed out, is something that 16 might and should merit a much longer discussion. 17 Commissioner any case, Ι second 18 Thernstrom's motion, and --19 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Already been 20 seconded. 21 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Well --22 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Just for the purposes 23 of rhetorical value, Ms. Heriot, not necessarily --CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: 24 Okay. This is 25 Chairman Reynolds. It seems to me that we are chewing

we might get better briefed by the staff. But also, I

up a lot of time that could be spent discussing this 1 2 issue on the merits. I would not assume that this is 3 going to take up an inordinate amount of time. 4 I would suggest that we just open up the 5 floor to the sponsor or sponsors of the motion and 6 hear what they have to say. 7 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: But we have to vote 8 on the motion to change the agenda. 9 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes. But I am trying 10 to get consensus around having the discussion. 11 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes. But we can't. 12 discuss the substance until we -- it has been approved 13 for the agenda. COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: This is 14 15 Commissioner Melendez. I just joined the call. I had 16 the wrong ID and call-in number, so I just -- I'm not 17 sure where we're at. 18 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. 19 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Commissioner 20 Melendez, we should catch you up on what the issue is. 21 Could the chair do so? 22 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Melendez, 23 we are debating whether we should move forward with an 24 item on the agenda, and that is the motion to respond to the EEOC's February 6th letter regarding Englishin-the-Workplace document request.

And Vice Chair Thernstrom has voted to amend the agenda so that that issue would be tabled. And the rationale offered — one is procedural, that the actual language was not distributed until this past Saturday. And I guess the other is also — the other issue is also procedural, that I believe — and correct me if I'm wrong, Vice Chair Thernstrom — that you believe that this will take up an inordinate amount of time.

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, I think -it is not only -- in the first place, it's too -- it's
a motion to -- it is the -- the motion is two parts.
One was postponing the discussion of the EEOC letter
and also the motion to collect and make public -- make
public the data on the Federal Government's -- I was
up until 3:00 in the morning, sorry -- on the Federal
Government's civil rights enforcement efforts.

Look, this is -- this is -- these are serious moves on our part to ask a great deal of federal agencies, and to collect, if they were to respond, an enormous amount of data that without any prior understanding from the staff of what they are

1 going to be able to do with this data, these data -- I 2 should correct my syntax. 3 And, you know, I just need a fuller 4 discussion, really at the Commission, with answers 5 from Commission staff, as to exactly what 6 resources are, whether we can do something better with 7 our time, what -- you know, a discussion of what our priorities are. It just does seem to me --8 9 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Vice Chair Thernstrom, 10 listening to you, it sounds like you have some 11 definite ideas on the topic, and it doesn't seem like 12 you are struggling to frame the issue. 13 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: No. You are 14 completely wrong. 15 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Let me finish, Vice 16 Chair Thernstrom. 17 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Okay. 18 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Would you be willing 19 to withdraw your motion to allow discussion? We can 20 always vote it down during the program planning 21 agenda. 22 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I'm not going to 23 withdraw my motion at this point. I really want it 24 postponed until --

1 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I think there are 2 some very --VICE 3 CHAIR THERNSTROM: more 4 documentation than I have now. 5 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: This is 6 Commissioner Gaziano. There are I think some very 7 simple answers to those, but I hasten to give them 8 until we have it on the agenda. It still may not pass 9 today. 10 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Let's --11 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Call the question? 12 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes, indeed. 13 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: This is 14 Commissioner Melendez. Just for my comments, I don't 15 really -- I got this late also, and I'm trying to 16 also agreeing with Commissioner understand, 17 Thernstrom, that I don't totally understand. 18 Even if there is a relationship between 19 the first motion to the EEOC, then the second one 20 having to do with collecting public data on 21 Federal Government's civil rights enforcement efforts, 22 I think -- it sounds to me like those are kind of, you 23 know, requiring information that will be given to 24 staff and analyzed, and all those different things.

I don't totally understand if those are kind of related in a way, because I just got this late. And, hopefully, we can put it on until the next meeting, so that I can actually ask staff and any of you as to what this actually means, because I don't think we have had adequate time to analyze it.

And I know that even if I am sending

And I know that even if I am sending something to the Commission later having to do with, you know, kind of assessing where the heck we are to see if we are meeting our statutory mandates, and whether or not we are meeting all of those goals, and all of the things that we have set forth, I think we are kind of maybe getting off track, and so you will receive that later in the week.

But I think it also ties to things like this, so I hope that we could have a little more time by April 20th to kind of gather our questions, because I know we are not going to have time today to get into all of this discussion. So that is just my opinion.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.

COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: This is Chairman Reynolds. Todd, I would suggest that we -- at least I am inclined to have a discussion, but postpone voting

1 so that we can have further discussion and a vote in 2 the April business meeting. Is that agreeable to you? 3 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Why don't we -- I 4 would prefer -- I am not the principal sponsor of 5 this, but I would still prefer to have a discussion 6 and see -- we may -- that may be the result. We may 7 decide that we can vote on it. 8 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes. 9 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Especially the EEOC 10 I think the EEOC letter is even easier. letter. proposed a couple sentence substitution, but I would 11 12 like to get that EEOC letter out. 13 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Commissioner Yaki. 14 have a question. Having never seen the first EEOC 15 letter in the first place, I am not comfortable 16 shooting in the dark without having seen the first 17 response. COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: You should have. 18 19 You were copied on the original e-mail. 20 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, I don't have it. 21 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Commissioner 22 Heriot, the proposal that I put on the table, any 23 response? 24 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: It seems to me that 25 this -- that doesn't go to this motion. We should

1 simply vote this motion down, and then, if we choose 2 not to vote on the issue later, that's -- you know, that's what we choose to do. 3 4 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I am willing to 5 amend my motion so that it conforms to the suggestion 6 made by the chair. I do not want to vote today. 7 would like to have the time to think about this, to 8 gather some more information myself. 9 I would hope that at the next meeting we 10 would be provided with more information. These are 11 not --12 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I don't think there 13 is such a thing as a motion not to vote. You just 14 don't vote. 15 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: No. I'm -- there 16 can be a motion, as the chair described it, to have a 17 discussion today, but to postpone any vote on this 18 subject until the following meeting, at which point we 19 would have further discussion. 20 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Second. Commissioner 21 Yaki. Second. 22 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Vice Chair 23 Thernstrom, how do you vote? 24 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: We are voting on 25 what you suggested, isn't that correct?

1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes. Commissioner
2	Yaki seconded the
3	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Could I clarify
4	what
5	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Well, the first
6	motion, then we need to sort of do this
7	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Yes. The motion
8	originally was to approve the agenda. Then, it was to
9	strike these discussion items. Are we striking even a
LO	vote on the EEOC letter?
L1	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes. We are
L2	postponing both until the next meeting. I feel a need
L3	to do that.
L4	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I am voting no. We
L5	can always vote it down anyway. I just don't
L 6	understand why we can't just approve the agenda.
L7	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Well, we could do
L 8	the EEOC letter, which seems I'm surprised we even
L9	have to pass this. Since they hadn't given us the
20	documents we had asked for, I would have expected
21	staff to follow up. But, you know, they weren't doing
22	that, so
23	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: You know, we have
24	enough material to have a reasonable report already.

1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Let's finish
2	let's find out what we are voting about and vote.
3	Let's go back to Abby's original amendment.
4	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I have withdrawn
5	it in favor of a new one. And Michael Yaki has
6	seconded it.
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. If that's
8	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I think that's out
9	of order.
10	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No, no, no. Gerry
11	has
12	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I think it's
13	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: to withdraw his
14	second, then, as well.
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sorry?
16	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: You have to withdraw
17	your second as well.
18	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, fine. Second
19	withdrawn.
20	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Okay.
21	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I think it's
22	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: And we've got a
23	substitute motion from me now, which allows discussion
24	but not vote today on this matter, and allows further
25	discussion and a vote on the 20th.

1	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Second.
2	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I don't think such a
3	motion is possible, to make a motion that no motion be
4	made.
5	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: What? That's
6	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Sure you can.
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Folks, let's
8	not get let's get out of the weeds. We are trying
9	to accomplish something here. I think does
10	everyone understand the issue that we are voting on at
11	this point? Do I need to restate it?
12	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: No. I'll restate
13	it, if you I think we all understand it. We are
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, I asked for
15	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: proceeding with
16	a discussion. We are not proceeding with a vote. We
17	are proceeding with a discussion again at the next
18	meeting if we feel we need to. And we will vote at
19	that time.
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Vice Chair Thernstrom,
21	how do you vote?
22	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I vote yes.
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Gaziano?
24	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: No.
25	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Heriot?

1	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No.	
2	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki?	
3	COMMISSIONER YAKI: No.	
4	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Taylor?	
5	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: No.	
6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Kirsanow?	
7	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: No.	
8	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: And I will	
9	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Commissioner	
10	Melendez here. Yes.	
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Oh, I'm sorry.	
12	Commissioner Melendez?	
13	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: I vote yes.	
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I am going to vote no.	
15	Okay. So let the record reflect that	
16	Commissioners Melendez, Yaki, and Thernstrom voted in	
17	the affirmative. The remaining Commissioners voted	
18	no.	
19	So now the issue the original motion is	
20	still in play. Do we want to vote at this point?	
21	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Call the question.	
22	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Wait a minute.	
23	What	
24	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: To approve the	
25	agenda.	

1	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: To adopt the agenda.
2	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sorry?
3	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: The original motion
4	is to adopt the agenda.
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: That's correct.
6	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I call the question.
7	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, wait a
8	minute. I have another motion. I want to amend the
9	agenda in one other way. I want to amend the agenda
10	to include under the program planning portion a
11	discussion of the HBCU briefing report, why we have
12	not been able to approve it, and get it out the door.
13	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Wouldn't that
14	be covered in the Staff Director's report, the update
15	on oh, I'm sorry, no. That's statutory reports.
16	Never mind. Is there a second?
17	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Second. Taylor.
18	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion?
19	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes. Could I have
20	an explanation on the motion to collect and make
21	public data on Federal Government's civil rights
22	enforcement efforts? That is that is what we are
23	voting on also, including it in this agenda?
24	THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. Who was
25	that speaking?
	NEAL D. CDOSS

1 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Commissioner 2 Melendez. THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 3 4 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: There is a motion to 5 amend the agenda to add a discussion about -- well, 6 basically, Vice Chair Thernstrom wants a status update 7 on the briefing report related to HBCUs. 8 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: that one in Why 9 particular? Why not all of them, then? 10 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: That one could get 11 out the door tomorrow. We did that briefing in May of 12 July 2007 there was action on the report, but 2006. 13 the action on the report was tabled. It has been repeatedly tabled. 14 15 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Is there a second? 16 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes. Ashlev 17 already seconded it. 18 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Continue, Vice 19 Chair Thernstrom. 20 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Oh. Well, I mean, 21 that is my motion, to have a discussion of the HBCU 22 briefing and why we haven't been able to approve it 23 and get it out the door. I want it out the door. 24 But Ι was just back to go to 25 Commissioner Melendez, since he came in late, look --

1 that was my concern about -- in raising those two 2 The second issue was the motion to collect and make public data on the government's civil rights 3 4 enforcement efforts. I want it postponed. But I have 5 been shot down on that. 6 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Okay. All right. 7 Thank you. 8 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any other comments from Commissioners? 9 10 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I've got a motion 11 on the table to amend the agenda to --12 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes, we're discussing 13 your amendment right now. 14 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Okav. 15 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Marty, do you have any information that you are able to provide at this time? 16 17 STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Well, the 18 briefing was held in May of 2006. It was placed on 19 the agenda in July of 2007. There was discussion at 20 that time about adding two studies to the report, and 21 then the further action on the report was tabled at 22 that time. There was discussion of it again in August

of 2007.

1 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Before you go on 2 Chairman Reynolds -- were the two reports 3 added? 4 STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: In August of 5 2007, it was reported that one report was added in the 6 appendix, and that other information relative to that 7 report was incorporated into the report itself. 8 Information relative to that study was incorporated 9 into the report itself. 10 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: And why wasn't the 11 second report added to the -- I mean, the second 12 study, why wasn't it added? 13 STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: I'm not 14 clear on that. I would need to do some more research 15 to find out --16 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okav. 17 STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: what 18 happened with that second study. 19 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I think I can be 20 helpful here. 21 STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Okay. 22 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: You know, I have 23 taken the position with several Commissioners that the HBCU report would have exponentially greater impact if 24 25 it were released at the same time as the STEM report.

# COMMISSIONER YAKI: Why?

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Why? Because HBCUs do a particularly good job at educating students in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics. And I think that -- those two reports I think are very much related to each other. I would actually prefer that they be integrated into one report, but releasing them at the same time I think would have a similar effect.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: This is Commissioner Yaki. I don't understand that at all. The briefings were separated in time by two years.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: But they're related topics.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: I mean, they're only related insofar as you have some pieces that you want to approve there, Commissioner. And I think that --

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: And what's wrong with that if that is what the Commission wants to do?

COMMISSIONER YAKI: And I think that when it comes to, your bootstrapping down an HBCU report to the STEM report, no matter how or what -- how or why we think HBCUs do a good job with STEM or not, the STEM report is much broader than the HBCU report. And

1 that was not the purpose of the HBCU report back in 2 2006. 3 I really see no reason why we are holding 4 the decision on -- if it's something that you have 5 instigated, then I would like to know -- I would like 6 to know why and when and how this has gone on, what 7 correspondence you had with the Executive Director and 8 the staff regarding this, because this is completely 9 new to me. 10 And if that's the reason why it is being 11 held, I want an explanation from the chair and the 12 Staff Director as to why -- as to why that would be 13 the case. 14 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Marty, please 15 finish walking us through where we are with respect to 16 the HBCU briefing report. 17 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Aren't you treating 18 the motion as if it has passed already? 19 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Yes. Can we just 20 either amend the agenda to include it in due time, at 21 some point on the agenda, or not? Is that possible? 22 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: The motion -- Vice 23 Chair Thernstrom has asked for a status report.

1 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I have moved to 2 amend the agenda to include under the program planning 3 portion a discussion of the HBCU briefing report. 4 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Yes. Ι would 5 prefer to take it after we do the EEOC and the other 6 So can we -- is it possible we could two motions. 7 just vote on the motion to amend the agenda, see if it 8 And if it passes, we'll take it up at that 9 point? 10 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Let's --11 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I don't see why the 12 Staff Director just doesn't agree to put it in the 13 Staff Director's report. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Hold on. Let's finish 14 15 up with the two motions that were in play initially. Right now we are voting on the original agenda. After 16 17 that vote is done, we will have discussion -- further 18 discussions and a possible vote on Vice Chair 19 Thernstrom's request for update **HBCU** an on the 20 briefing report. 21 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I think she has 22 moved to amend the agenda to put this in Section 3 23 under program planning. 24 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: That's correct.

1	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: And so shouldn't we
2	vote on that amendment to the agenda?
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: It works that way
4	also. So
5	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Call the question.
6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Kirsanow?
7	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I would call the
8	question.
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Oh, very good. Vice
10	Chair Thernstrom?
11	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I am not sure what
12	we are voting on at this point.
13	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: To amend the
14	agenda to include a discussion of HBCUs under Item 3.
15	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Okay. Then, we
16	are
17	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Your motion.
18	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Pardon me?
19	COMMISSIONER YAKI: We're voting on
20	this is Commissioner Yaki. We are voting on your
21	motion.
22	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Okay. The answer
23	is yes. I guess we the prior amendments I
24	suggested are off the table at this point. The answer
25	is yes.

1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Gaziano?
2	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: No.
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Heriot?
4	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No.
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki?
6	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes.
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Taylor?
8	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes.
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Melendez?
10	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes.
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Kirsanow?
12	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.
13	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: And I also vote in the
14	affirmative. Commissioners Gaziano and Heriot voted
15	against the motion. The remaining Commissioners voted
16	in the affirmative. The motion passes.
17	Okay. Any more amendments?
18	(No response.)
19	Very good.
20	Are we ready to vote on the agenda as
21	amended?
22	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Please.
23	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: This is
24	Commissioner Melendez again. I still don't understand
25	you talked about the EEOC, but I maybe I was

late on the call in the agenda addition to collect and
make public data on Federal Government's civil rights
enforcement efforts. Is that that is another thing
that I I don't know enough about whether or not
you know, how it affects staff, similar to the EEOC
one. So I'm not in agreement. I would rather have
that discussed at the April 20th meeting. So have you
already decided that
VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, that was my
motion, Commissioner Melendez.
COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes. We lost that
motion.
VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I didn't get I
made a motion, for precisely the reasons you
articulate, to postpone it until April 20th. But I
was defeated on that.
COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Okay. All right.
Thank you.
CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. So,
Commissioner Thernstrom, how do you vote?
VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes.
CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Gaziano?
COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Yes.
CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Heriot?
COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes.

1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki?
2	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Abstain.
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Taylor?
4	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes.
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Melendez?
6	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: I abstain also.
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Kirsanow?
8	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: And I also vote in the
10	affirmative. Commissioners Yaki and Melendez
11	abstained. The remaining Commissioners voted in the
12	affirmative. The motion passes.
13	That was painful, folks.
14	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, you know, I
15	mean, if I thought it would do any good, I would have
16	just abstained on that, but whatever. Doesn't matter.
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Moving right
18	along, at this point we are up to the Staff Director's
19	report.
20	II. STAFF DIRECTOR'S REPORT
21	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Mr. Staff Director?
22	UPDATE ON FY09 APPROPRIATION
23	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Thank you,
24	Mr. Chairman. As I noted in a recent e-mail to
25	

March 11th where he signed into law the Omnibus Appropriations Bill for fiscal year 2009. We had been operating under a continuing resolution, but at a funding level for the Commission of \$8.46 million. And this Omnibus Appropriations Bill increases that level to \$8.8 million.

There was also language in the bill that the Commission was asked to provide a report to the Appropriations Committees in the House and Senate within 60 days, which would be May 10th -- but that's a Sunday, so I notified the House Appropriations Committee we would report to them by May 8th, the Friday -- about certain aspects of our budget, transparency, issues relating to briefing reports.

So we will be getting that information together and sending -- probably sending a letter to the chairs and the ranking members of both of those committees.

We have also had a development where, with respect to the FY2009 budget, that the President has a more expansive budget, or he is doing more -- further review of his budget and may be submitting an updated budget by about April 21st.

So while we initially thought, after we approved our budget here at the February 20th meeting,

that we would be submitting that right away to the committees, we have been asked by OMB to wait until they give us the go ahead to submit the budget, which will probably be about April 21st.

At this point, we don't expect that they will be coming back to us, that the administration will be coming back to us with any further requests to amend our budget. The main purpose of that extended period, extended review by the administration, is to see how their priorities will be incorporated into the budget.

For instance, the President has talked about health care reform. He has a major initiative on health care reform, so that presumably would affect the budget of the Department of Health and Human Services. So they want to work with the Department of Health and Human Services to see how the budget might be revised to reflect that Presidential priority.

So I am just asking that we hold off on submitting our budget until that time. But as I said, I believe that will be about April 21st that we will be able to submit it.

We have had it reviewed by our OMB examiner. She proposed some changes in terms of moving some information from the back of the report to

1 the front of the report, and then a few other very 2 small technical changes which we have incorporated. 3 But we are holding off on actually submitting it until 4 we get the go ahead from OMB about April 21st. 5 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Questions? 6 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes. Commissioner 7 Melendez. On the May -- is it 10th or 11th 8 appropriation sent to Congress, is there supposed to 9 be inclusion of Commissioners' comments with that? 10 STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: It wasn't 11 clear to that effect. It just asks that we report to 12 And I would interpret that to be a the committee. 13 letter either from myself or from the Chairman to the committee explaining what we plan to do in the areas 14 15 that are delineated in the report language from the 16 Omnibus Appropriations Bill. 17 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Okay. Also, 18 have \$8.8 million now as -- we had anticipated \$8.4-19 something, so we have about \$340,000 more for -- that 20 will be working with for this year, 21 correct? 22 STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: That is 23 correct, Commissioner. 24 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Okay. I had asked

for an update, you know, for the first quarter, which

started Ι believe October 1st through was December 31st. But I haven't received -- just to find out where we are. Now we're in the second quarter, and I still haven't received anything as to how we are managing the budget. So I requested that quite a You know, am I still going to get that? while ago. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Melendez, are you referring to the quarterly budget update? COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes. Yes, I had asked for that, but I haven't received it. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Mr. Staff Director? STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Yes. T am assembling material. Ι have information, some actually, up until -- through February, through the end of February. And I just think that the numbers there need some explanation. Where there are some in particular areas, Ι have Director of the Office of Management to provide me with a little more detail on explaining why we might be over in some categories, under in some categories. There basically three are major categories, and so she has provided me with some of that information. I spoke to her again this morning,

working on providing some

she

is

and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

additional

2 hopefully, to be able to provide that to Commissioners. 3 4 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Okay. The other 5 are we still doing this conference, 6 know, that required а certain amount of 7 appropriations? And when was it supposed to be? And are we still on target? Because it seems like if it 8 9 is still going to happen, we are probably kind of 10 running out of time on preparation for that event, if 11 that is still on the agenda. 12 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: It is still on the 13 No date has been selected. agenda. And the planning is just starting to get underway. 14 15 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Are we saying we 16 are trying to have this happen before the end of the 17 fiscal year, which is September 30th? 18 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: That is correct. 19 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Okay. Thank you. 20 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Other questions? 21 (No response.) 22 Okay. Αt this point, during 23 February 20th business meeting Commissioners 24 unanimously approved a motion that the moratorium on 25 the hiring of special assistants be lifted in fiscal

details. So I expect to have that within the next day

year 2010. Funding was to be allocated for three additional special assistants, and the Staff Director was charged with identifying funding for a fourth additional special assistant, to be assigned to Commissioner Kirsanow or his successor, if Commissioner Kirsanow or his successor should make such a request.

light of improved funding In our of circumstances for the remainder 2009, and Commissioners' need for their own special assistants, I move to lift the moratorium on special assistant hiring for all Commissioners who indicated that they would be hiring special assistants in 2010, so that mav be permitted to hire such immediately.

Is there a second?

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Second. Commissioner
Yaki. Second.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion?

COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes. Are we going to see -- I am in support of that, but I just wanted to see -- because it has to do with the budget, and I would like to see what line item and where that is going to come out of. I am just -- I haven't seen too much as far as financial budget information. So I

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 think when you -- when we do this, I would like to see 2 some type of budget line item that it is coming out 3 of. 4 THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. Who was 5 just speaking? 6 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Mr. Melendez. 7 THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 8 STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: This is the 9 Staff Director. As we noted, there was an additional 10 \$340,000 that is now going to be provided to the 11 Commission for our use between now and September 30th. 12 So that would more than cover the cost of bringing on 13 these additional special assistants for the remainder 14 of the fiscal year. 15 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: And the reason I 16 asked this, I think we really need to go over even 17 budget savings, whether or not there is anything saved 18 from not hiring, you know, certain positions. And I 19 think we need to have a better look at the current 20 financial situation as to the previous budget and 21 future budgets. That's all I'm saying. 22 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, Commissioner 23

Melendez, I am in agreement that we need to do a better job of discussing where we are in terms of our monthly spend.

24

1	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: All right. Thank
2	you.
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any other questions?
4	Comments?
5	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: One more question.
6	I have I think we had talked about staff time being
7	spent or money on the campaign the campus anti-
8	semitism campaign, and I think we asked that in
9	January as to what we were doing there. I just needed
10	whether or not that is part of this budget also?
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: No, it is not.
12	Commissioner Melendez, we can deal with that, but that
13	issue is unrelated to the motion that is on the table
1	
14	now.
14 15	now.  COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Okay.
15	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Okay.
15 16	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Okay.  CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. At this point,
15 16 17	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Okay.  CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. At this point,  Vice Chair Thernstrom, how do you vote?
15 16 17 18	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Okay.  CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. At this point,  Vice Chair Thernstrom, how do you vote?  VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I'm sorry. What
15 16 17 18 19	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Okay.  CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. At this point,  Vice Chair Thernstrom, how do you vote?  VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I'm sorry. What is the motion on the table?
15 16 17 18 19 20	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Okay.  CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. At this point,  Vice Chair Thernstrom, how do you vote?  VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I'm sorry. What is the motion on the table?  CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: This is to lift the
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Okay.  CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. At this point,  Vice Chair Thernstrom, how do you vote?  VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I'm sorry. What is the motion on the table?  CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: This is to lift the moratorium on the hiring of special assistants.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Okay.  CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. At this point,  Vice Chair Thernstrom, how do you vote?  VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I'm sorry. What is the motion on the table?  CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: This is to lift the moratorium on the hiring of special assistants.  VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Oh, yes, of
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Okay.  CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. At this point,  Vice Chair Thernstrom, how do you vote?  VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I'm sorry. What is the motion on the table?  CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: This is to lift the moratorium on the hiring of special assistants.  VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Oh, yes, of course. Yes.

1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Kirsanow?
2	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Heriot?
4	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes.
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Melendez?
6	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes.
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki?
8	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes.
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Taylor?
10	Commissioner Taylor?
11	(No response.)
12	Okay. And I vote in the affirmative. Let
13	the record reflect that Commissioner Taylor did not
14	vote on this matter. The remaining Commissioners
15	voted in the affirmative. The motion passes.
16	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: So what is the
17	timetable here?
18	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: At this point,
19	Commissioners who don't have special assistants have
20	the ability to immediately hire a special assistant.
21	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Well, we
22	probably have to go through a process with the White
23	House and OPM on that, but we can certainly get that
24	process underway.

1 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes. But the hiring 2 process could --STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: 3 4 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: -- start immediately. 5 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: And I assume that 6 means advising us on how to do that, who haven't had 7 special assistants before. But I am certainly eager 8 to start. 9 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I am sure you are. 10 Mr. Staff Director, please update Okay. 11 us on the progress made on the 2009 statutory report. 12 UPDATE ON STATUTORY REPORT STATUS 13 STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Thank you, 14 Chairman. The staff has been meeting very Mr. 15 regularly on the statutory report, and they have put 16 together a great deal of research. We are having good 17 success in getting response from the agencies that we 18 have asked to provide us with such information. 19 And the draft report I understand is now 20 more than 100 pages, and it is being fine-tuned. 21 I expect to receive an even more fine-tuned version of 22 it by the end of this week. And we do plan to send 23 the draft to Commissioners by April 27th. 24 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Questions? Comments? 25 (No response.)

Okay. Next up is the motion to respond to the EEOC's February 6, 2009 letter regarding Englishin-the-Workplace document request.

## III. PROGRAM PLANNING

MOTION TO RESPOND TO EEOC'S FEBRUARY 6, 2009

LETTER REGARDING ENGLISH-IN-THE-WORKPLACE

## DOCUMENT REQUEST

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: On October 29, 2008, the Commission issued a letter to the then-Chairman of the EEOC, Naomi Earp, requesting that the agency turn over various documents related to English language policies in the workplace, in conjunction with the Commission's December 2008 briefing on the same subject.

EEOC's then-legal counsel, Reed Russell, who appeared at the briefing, the agency turned over all, the materials some, but not of the Commission requested. Much of it is in aggregate Commissioner Heriot expressed to me in advance form. of my agenda planning for this meeting that she wanted to circulate a draft letter at our next business meeting requesting that the EEOC turn over some information it had not yet provided.

With that, I turn the floor over to Commissioner Heriot.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Oh, okay. And I just move that we send a followup letter. This is, actually, I think kind of routine. You know, we didn't get everything we asked for, and so this request that — that what we asked for be delivered — except for, you know, they had raised Privacy Act objections.

And rather than go to the mat on that, this just requests that they redact the things that they think will be covered by the Privacy Act, and asks them to either deliver the documents that they — in one of the sections that they hadn't mentioned in their letter, or tell us that they don't have any such documents.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. And just to be clear, Commissioner Heriot, we basically want them to wipe any personal identifiable information from the records.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes. I don't see any reason to go to the mat at this point. Just -- you know, this is just basic followup, trying to get what we have asked for and to accommodate their privacy objections, without waiving our right to do something more in the future if we chose to do so.

	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Mr. Chairman, I
2	don't know if this is Commissioner Todd Gaziano
3	if you saw my suggested revisions to the letter. I
4	can read them into the record if
5	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Which I accept as a
6	friendly amendment. So my motion includes your
7	suggestions, Todd.
8	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Right. I just
9	recirculated them via e-mail, and I have sent now to
10	Commissioner Yaki both the original draft letter,
11	EEOC's response, and my slight revisions to it. Does
12	anyone else want me to who may not have e-mail
13	access to read my slight revisions to the letter?
14	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: This is
	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: This is Commissioner Melendez. If you could send me whatever
14 15 16	
15	Commissioner Melendez. If you could send me whatever
15 16	Commissioner Melendez. If you could send me whatever you sent Michael, it would be helpful.
15 16 17	Commissioner Melendez. If you could send me whatever you sent Michael, it would be helpful.  COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I sent it to
15 16 17 18	Commissioner Melendez. If you could send me whatever you sent Michael, it would be helpful.  COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I sent it to everyone just now.
15 16 17 18 19 20	Commissioner Melendez. If you could send me whatever you sent Michael, it would be helpful.  COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I sent it to everyone just now.  COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Okay.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Commissioner Melendez. If you could send me whatever you sent Michael, it would be helpful.  COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I sent it to everyone just now.  COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Okay.  COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Sometimes these
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Commissioner Melendez. If you could send me whatever you sent Michael, it would be helpful.  COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I sent it to everyone just now.  COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Okay.  COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Sometimes these electrons move slow.
15 16 17 18	Commissioner Melendez. If you could send me whatever you sent Michael, it would be helpful.  COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I sent it to everyone just now.  COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Okay.  COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Sometimes these electrons move slow.  COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Can I ask a
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Commissioner Melendez. If you could send me whatever you sent Michael, it would be helpful.  COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I sent it to everyone just now.  COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Okay.  COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Sometimes these electrons move slow.  COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Can I ask a question as to how the information that we are asking

1 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Commissioner 2 Heriot? 3 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I'm sorry? 4 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Do you want to respond 5 to Commissioner Melendez's question? 6 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I'm sorry. I didn't 7 hear it. 8 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: I was asking -- we 9 are asking for information from the EEOC, and I was 10 wondering how -- how staff will use that information. 11 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: On the English 12 language report. You know, we can't -- we don't know 13 how it is going to be used until we see it. know, wanting to know how many of these letters get 14 15 sent out, that I think is significant information. VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: You know -- this 16 is the Vice Chair -- I am back to my point before that 17 18 it seems to me we have -- and this brings up a larger 19 point, actually. We already have enough information 20 to issue a reasonable report. 21 Now, there has never, in all the years I 22 have been on the Commission, been the kind of backlog 23 that there is now. But that is in part because we have issued very simple briefing reports. 24 They --25 have the record of the briefing itself, the

1 statements of the witnesses, and then any responses 2 that Commissioners have. Period. Well, I understand, 3 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: 4 and that --5 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: It has just gotten 6 immensely complicated, and the result is we have got 7 reports just in a pipeline that sit there. This is --8 I mean, if Congress wanted to go after us, this is 9 really a red carpet asking them to do so. 10 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: This is Chairman 11 Reynolds. Vice Chair Thernstrom, I mean, the backlog 12 issue -- I mean, the causes of the backlog, we are 13 looking into that. It is an empirical question. 14 don't think that we have reached any firm conclusions. 15 So I -- I don't --VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I understand that. 16 17 I just don't understand why we need more information 18 at this point from EEOC in order to get this 19 particular briefing out the door. 20 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: This was part of 21 our original request. This was part of our original 22 request, so we deemed it appropriate back in October, 23 or whenever it was. I think it was October. 24 still deem it appropriate.

It won't take us -- it won't take staff or us very long at all to look through it and see whether it seems significant, relevant, irrelevant, useless. It would probably only take 20 minutes to review.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I really think

Abby's objection is untimely. If we have an objection to collecting information like this, it should have been made back in the fall. We did request this information, and I think we need to make sure that the EEOC understands that when we ask for something they shouldn't blow it off.

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, they may blow it off again. My concern is, you know, yes, we asked for it back in the fall. It is now the end of March. So the question is whether it isn't time to just get -- move forward with what we have got. And, you know, I -- as I said, my understanding is that we have enough to issue a briefing report on.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: This won't stop us from working on other reports. But if we don't maintain the integrity of our requests, I think that is a much more serious issue.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Folks, I want to remind you to identify yourself before you chat. And this is Chairman Reynolds. Any other comments?

1 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, I don't know 2 what "maintaining the integrity of our request" means. 3 I'm sorry, I don't. COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: If we don't -- if 4 5 the agency said no, they would really rather not give 6 us information, if we just let that go, then I don't 7 think we are maintaining the agency's integrity to 8 follow up on its request. 9 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Look, if the 10 agency says effect getting in you are not the 11 information --12 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: They interposed a 13 particular legal objection that we don't think is 14 merited, but it -- that is normally the way these 15 things go. We are trying to accommodate their 16 concerns, and that is what the --17 COMMISSIONER YAKI: When you say "we," who 18 do you mean by "we"? 19 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: The Commission in 20 this letter. The letter will come from our Chairman, 21 but the -- in the language of the letter it says, "To 22 accommodate EEOC's concerns and to avoid unnecessary 23 disagreement." This is how these things are resolved. 24 The Commission is willing to review such redacted 25

documents to see if that satisfies our research needs.

1	So this is a way of getting what we think
2	will be sufficient, but honoring their concerns.
3	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: On the assumption
4	that we really do have still have research needs.
5	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: We don't know until
6	well, we have some research questions. Whether
7	they will be answered in a significant way or not we
8	don't know yet. But we have some research questions.
9	How many of these documents are there? How many
10	letters are sent out? But that's somewhat relevant.
11	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: It's not somewhat
12	relevant. It is relevant.
13	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Okay.
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Any other
15	comments?
16	(No response.)
17	Okay. At this point, Vice Chair
18	Thernstrom, how do you vote?
19	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: No.
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Gaziano?
21	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Yes.
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Kirsanow?
23	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.
24	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Heriot?
25	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes.
	NEAL D. CDOCC

1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Melendez?
2	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: No.
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki?
4	COMMISSIONER YAKI: No.
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sorry?
6	COMMISSIONER YAKI: No.
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Taylor?
8	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes.
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: And I vote in the
10	affirmative. So Commissioners Thernstrom, Melendez,
11	and Yaki voted against the motion. The remaining
12	Commissioners voted against it. The motion
13	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: For it.
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sorry?
15	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: You said against it.
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Oh, I'm sorry. Thank
17	you for the correction. The remaining Commissioners
18	voted for it. So the motion passes.
19	MOTION TO COLLECT AND MAKE PUBLIC DATA ON
20	FEDERAL GOVERNMENT'S CIVIL RIGHTS
21	ENFORCEMENT EFFORTS
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Next issue,
23	Commissioner Kirsanow has indicated to me that he has
24	that he Commissioner Kirsanow, while we were in
25	the process of planning for this meeting, indicated

that he had a motion that he intended to raise at this business meeting concerning the Commission's monitoring of Federal Government's civil rights enforcement efforts.

I indicated to Commissioners in an e-mail sent by my special assistant on behalf of all Commissioners this fact. Commissioner Kirsanow, would you like to walk through your -- describe your motion?

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes. I mean, it is I think in the e-mail that was sent around. The motion is set forth in detail there. But to give you a summary, it really is a motion designed to implement our clearinghouse function. That is consistent with our statutory authority to act as a clearinghouse with

respect to civil rights matters.

This motion seeks to elicit from various civil rights agencies information relevant monitoring of civil rights and civil rights enforcement in various federal agencies. And it consistent with -- beyond our statutory mandate, it is consistent with other strategic goals that we have set for ourselves with respect to the analysis of the enforcement responsibilities and to issue guidances to the executive branch on enforcement efforts by federal agencies.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So the purpose of this is to gather in a timely fashion the enforcement data pertaining to the enforcement efforts of the various civil rights agencies in the Federal Government, including Civil Rights Division at the Department of Justice, Office of Civil Rights at Education, EEOC, and the OFCCP.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. And let me just read some of the types of information that we would be seeking if this motion passes. From the Civil Rights Division over at the Department of Justice, one week after the close of each month we would seek the following information: all complaints filed in court during that month, all consent decrees and settlements not under seal entered into during that month, all appellate briefs filed in court that month, all letters of potential violations sent out during that month, all letters to jurisdictions refusing to refusing requests to pre-clear changes under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act during that month, and all amicus briefs, whether or not they are appellate in nature, filed in court during that month.

That is just an example of the type of information that we would seek. It is my understanding that this information -- and correct me if I'm wrong, Pete -- would go up on our website, so

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that the public could go to a -- go to a single place and get these types of -- well, this type of statistical information regarding the enforcement efforts of the Civil Rights Division, the Office for Civil Rights at the Department of Education, EEOC, and, finally, OFCCP.

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Could you repeat for me the question with respect to Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act?

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All letters to jurisdictions refusing requests to pre-clear changes under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act during that month.

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: All right. Well, that doesn't give us any information at all. Most of that is -- most of those Section 5 pre-clearances are worked out on the phone without a letter.

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes, Gerry. To get to your point, yes, the idea was, at minimum, to see if we can, first of all, get this either in hard data or maybe in electronic form -- an electronic form that could easily be simply placed on our website, so that any interested parties universities, academics, whomever -- could access it at their

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 leisure. And we would be discharging our oversight or 2 clearinghouse responsibilities. 3 And then, to the extent the Commission at 4 some later point months or years from now decides to 5 take a look -- a close look at this data ourselves, 6 you know, we can choose to do so. But it is simply 7 gathering information. 8 Commissioner CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: So, 9 assuming Kirsanow, amΙ correct in that 10 information would be placed on our website without commentary? It would just be the statistics generated 11 12 from our monthly request? 13 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Right. That is what I envisioned. 14 15 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes. They wouldn't 16 be statistics. They would be the actual documents. 17 Am I not right on that? 18 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes. Sure, the 19 briefs and --20 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes. So it is not 21 It is, you know, the documents they statistics. 22 produced. That is what would go up. 23 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Gotcha. COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Could I speak to 24 25 the motion, please?

## CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Sure.

I'd support an understanding, or a motion if necessary -- or a modification if necessary to allow the Chairman and the -- or the Staff Director to work with the affected agencies to -- I don't think we need to have the final form in which they are going to deliver it, and to reword any of these in a manner that reflects the kind of information that we are seeking.

Secondly, it is my understanding that most of these agencies have been providing this to Congress for some number of years. I don't know if the current Congress is requesting it, but this is a typical —there has been a standing order I know to the Civil Rights Division, I believe some of the others, so they are used to producing this to agencies that are reviewing their effectiveness. So I don't think it is going to be a burden on them.

Third, I think this is -- this is our -- satisfies our clearinghouse function, which we haven't been doing a very good job of lately. This is one of our statutory purposes, and I think this is the -- among the most basic information that we could be providing to scholars.

I don't know that we necessarily have to make use of them ourselves, but the final point is is we may, after many, many months of collecting this, we, our special assistants, our staff, OCR, General Counsel's office at some point we may want to focus on some point of it. If we are not collecting it, I don't think anyone else will at this point. And we may find something very valuable. is not just for us. It is -- under our clearinghouse function, it is for all of the scholars and journalists and everyone else who wants to look at it. THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. Who is that speaking, please? VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: This is the Vice Chair. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: One at a time, one at a time. THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. Who was that speaking? COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Todd Gaziano. THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you. VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I'm sorry. thought you were finished.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Oh, I have. I'm 2 just identifying myself. VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Oh. For the Vice 3 4 Chair -- no, for the Staff Director. This is the Vice 5 Chair. Do you envision this taking up time of staff 6 that -- who is going to do this? That is really the 7 question? What kind of time, and is there a resource 8 problem here? 9 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Ιf the agencies 10 send us in electronic form, it would just be --11 COMMISSIONER YAKI: The question was 12 directed at the Staff Director. 13 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: And I'm helping with a suggestion. With a suggestion that 14 15 wouldn't it -- it wouldn't take very much time to 16 upload electronic information to our website sent from 17 the agencies, would it? CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Mr. Staff Director? 18 19 STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Well, I have 20 not had an opportunity to discuss that with staff, but 21 I would think that if we received it in electronic 22 form it would not be difficult. If we received 23 various hard copies, that would be a more involved 24 There is also the issue of cataloguing all process.

of the information, but I believe that we have staff

that could do that. It would conceivably be a significant amount of information, but I believe we could establish a system for doing that.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: This is Yaki. I just find it highly ironic that we are having a discussion about being a clearinghouse when three years ago the Commission majority voted to kill what had been a yearly clearinghouse function of the Commission for decades, and that was the federal funding reports, which delineated expenditures on civil rights issues throughout the Federal Government by agency, by department, broken down into individual functions.

And it was seen as something that would not be either useful or a drain on staff time. To me, you know, if we are -- if this is something that was so important, we should have been doing it in 2007. And when we discontinued the federal funding requirement at that time, obviously, the majority did not want to get the stats at that time, for reasons I can't understand.

But I just -- I just think that this is -this may be within our clearinghouse function. I
wonder whether or not, seriously, if we have the staff
authority. I think it is more than just simply
uploading stats to a database.

At some point, that database -- unless you website to look like -- you know, typeface in the nanobytes, we are going to have to have an electronic system of collections, recall, collating, transferring to PDF, whatever, to preserve bandwidth, because this could our be very significant amount of, as you pointed out, raw data simple as simply uploading that may not be as something onto our particular website.

The interesting thing about websites, it is not just data in. It is also data out, and the ability to -- and, conversely, it is not just data out. It is also data in. And we have to make sure that we have the ability to absorb that within our pipes and our servers for the purposes of distribution to the general public.

And, finally, I ask the question that follows up on what Commissioner Gaziano said, which is, how much of this is publicly available anyway? And why haven't we done a little bit of research on our own to figure out what there is? And simply then limit what we are asking for to that which has not already been made public.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: It is my understanding that the material made available to the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

congressional committees may or may not continue. We don't know that. But it is not available to the -- it is not on the website. It is not available to, necessarily, the public or to journalists to download. So that is our function, or seems to suit our function even more than the members of Congress who request the information for their own uses.

As far as your funding issue, I don't quite understand that issue, but I am willing to work with you to see if we can add that as well, if the rest of the Commission would entertain it.

COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: This is Commissioner Melendez. You know, this is -- this issue is just one of many that I -- I was going to send out this document today. And I think that, you know, there are some issues having to do with whether or not we are meeting our statutory mandates, whether or not they are addressing them.

I mean, things that -- the last time we talked about whether or not we actually conducted investigations ourselves or whether or not we were just passing those on to some other agency. I think -- and then, we talked about whether or not we are actually doing the public service announcements and advertising campaigns.

I know that, you know, we don't even have a person that is devoted totally to that mandate. And the point I am making is that there is also -- you know, when we go back to our strategic plan and the performance targets for 2009, in 2009 I think we have to go back and review whether or not we are meeting all of those performance targets that we had mandated to the Staff Director.

And those include a lot things of that help establish there, you know, us to congressional contacts and issue quidance to executive branch on civil rights, and it holds press There is a number of them -- about 10 of conferences. them or so -- that the Staff Director was mandated.

And it just sounds to me like all of the things on the agenda are part of this bigger picture, but it is kind of like we are -- we are kind of just throwing things out there without going back and really assessing where the heck we are, and see if we are meeting those statutory mandates or even the strategic plans, because if you are saying that the clearinghouse was part of this, then where is it in the strategic plan? And where is it in --

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Strategic Goal 2.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ:

Well, in --

1 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes. Strategic 2 Goal 2 is the guidance to the executive branch. 3 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: In the performance 4 of our Staff Director -- and we could also -- at one 5 time we talked about having a subcommittee that would 6 work with the Staff Director and get input from the 7 Commissioners as to, are there some gaps in this 8 bigger picture, the strategic plan and performance 9 goals for the year, and --10 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Melendez? 11 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: -- it ties to the 12 budget also, I would think, you know? So I just --13 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Melendez? 14 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes? 15 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: The motion -- I mean, 16 the motion that is on the table right now, it is not 17 directly related to the items that you are discussing. 18 You are raising good issues, but I think that we need 19 to finish our discussion on the motion that is on the 20 table, and then vote. 21 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: You know, with all 22 due respect, Mr. Chairman, I think that the questions 23 Commissioner Melendez is raising is -- are related, 24 because they all go back to the question I raised

1 earlier. What are our priorities? What -- you know, 2 what kind of time, what kind of resources --Can we agree that 3 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: 4 the --5 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: -- what are our 6 I mean, these things require more options here? 7 discussion than this, once again. 8 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Vice Chair Thernstrom, 9 can we agree that if it's in the strategic plan that 10 that is a high priority? VICE 11 CHAIR THERNSTROM: Look, not 12 necessarily, because we are just throwing so much else 13 into -- onto our plate. You know, I would like to see 14 whether -- in this case, you know, exactly how this 15 could be done most expeditiously and with as much -providing as much information as possible. Maybe we 16 17 can just provide some links to other -- to other 18 sources of information on these questions. 19 You know, I don't -- once again, I don't 20 know enough to vote on this, frankly. 21 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. At this point, I call the question. Vice Chair Thernstrom, how do 22 23 you vote?

1	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I'm abstaining on
2	this. No, I'm going to vote no. I'm voting no. I
3	don't know enough.
4	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Commissioner
5	Gaziano?
6	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Yes.
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Heriot?
8	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes.
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki?
10	Commissioner Yaki?
11	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Abstain.
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Taylor?
13	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes.
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Melendez?
15	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: I vote no, just
16	because I don't have enough time in to looking at this
17	as a total issue. Thank you.
18	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I vote in the
19	affirmative. So
20	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Did you get
21	Kirsanow?
22	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Kirsanow, yes.
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Oh, okay.
24	Commissioners Thernstrom and Melendez voted no.
25	Commissioner Melendez abstained.

1 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yaki abstained. 2 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Oh, I'm sorry. 3 Commissioner Yaki abstained. And the remaining 4 Commissioners voted yes. So the motion carries. 5 Next up is the --6 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I want to make it 7 clear that if I had some more information I might be 8 totally for it. I just don't like voting in the dark 9 here. 10 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Next is the 11 approval of the rechartering package for the Georgia 12 Advisory Committee. STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE ISSUES 13 IV. GEORGIA SAC 14 15 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Ten of the 11 16 previously-appointed eligible for members were 17 reappointment. Two of these ten declined 18 remaining eight reappointment. The previously-19 appointed being recommended for members are 20 reappointment. Recommended Advisory Committee members 21 were selected in compliance with the State Advisory 22 Committee membership selection guidelines. 23 Following the Six Sigma process approved 24 the Commission on January -- in January 2008,

Commission staff reached out to Commissioners,

1 current SAC members, the entire Georgia Congressional 2 Delegation, municipalities, unions, religious organizations, 3 universities, civil rights 4 organizations, newspapers, etcetera. 5 I move to recharter the Georgia State 6 Advisory Committee. Under this motion, the Commission 7 appoints the following individuals to that committee 8 based on the recommendations of the Staff Director: 9 Charles Tanksley, Tony Boatwright, Constance Curry, 10 Julius Dudley, Herbert Garrett, Shannon Goessling, 11 Edna Jackson, Ann Kasun, John Mayes, Arch Stokes, 12 Pamela White-Colbert. the 13 Furthermore, Commission appoints 14 Charles Tanksley as the chair of the rechartered 15 Georgia State Advisory Committee. These members will 16 serve as uncompensated government employees. Under 17 the Commission authorizes the Staff motion, 18 Director to execute the appropriate paperwork for the 19 appointment. Is there a second? 20 21 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Second. 22 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion? 23 (No response.)

Okay.

1	THE COURT REPORTER: This is the Court
2	Reporter. Who did we have seconding that motion?
3	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Gaziano.
4	THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you.
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. At this point,
6	let's vote. Vice Chair Thernstrom?
7	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I'm abstaining. I
8	haven't had a chance to look at any of these SACs
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Vice Chair
10	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: any of the SAC
11	material.
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Thank you, Vice
13	Chair Thernstrom. Commissioner Gaziano?
14	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Yes.
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Heriot?
16	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes.
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki?
18	Commissioner Yaki?
19	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Abstain.
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Taylor?
21	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes.
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Melendez?
23	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Abstain.
24	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Kirsanow?
25	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: And I also vote in the affirmative. Okay. Please let the record reflect that the following Commissioners abstained — Commissioners Thernstrom, Yaki, and Melendez. The remaining Commissioners voted in the affirmative. The motion passes.

The HBCU report was added to the discussion -- added to the agenda. At this point, Vice Chair Thernstrom, would you like to lead this discussion?

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, I would just like some more information on what is holding it up, and why we can't get this out of the door, and I am not happy with simply waiting until whatever happens with the STEM report. I would like to know exactly what is supposed to happen with that. But in any case, too much time has passed.

They were, as Commissioner Yaki said, two different briefings, and I don't think the one is dependent on the other. And it is time to get our act together and get this out the door.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. First off, Commissioner Heriot has indicated her preference that the two briefing reports either be combined or released at the same time. I do not believe that that

recommendation has been acted on. I turn to the Staff 1 2 Director for confirmation. DIRECTOR 3 STAFF DANNENFELSER: Mr. 4 Chairman, that is correct. There has been discussion 5 at a couple of committee meetings to that effect, but 6 there has not been a formal vote by the Commission. 7 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. So --8 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Would it be in order 9 for me to make a motion that we do so? Or would you 10 prefer that we have that at a later meeting? 11 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I would prefer that we 12 have it at a later meeting. 13 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Okay. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: 14 Could you continue 15 your discussion of where we are with respect to the 16 HBCU report? What needs to be done? 17 STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Well, the 18 HBCU report is ready. It went to the Commissioners 19 -- and a deadline for comments was set 20 June 30, 2008. There had been some discussion of 21 putting it on the agenda in July of 2008, but we took 22 up the Omaha briefing issue instead. And I think at 23 about that same time Commissioner Heriot raised her suggestion that we hold off on issuing the report 24

until we completed the STEM report.

1	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I would be happy to
2	make that motion at the next meeting, if that would
3	resolve the problem here.
4	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: We are now talking
5	about almost a year since that last motion was made.
6	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: What last motion?
7	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: It wasn't a
8	motion per se.
9	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Oh, it wasn't a
10	motion per se. I see. Okay.
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: In other words, there
12	is nothing I mean, if we wanted to vote on this at
13	the next meeting, we would be in a position to do so.
14	STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: Yes.
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
16	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Part A, is that
17	correct?
18	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sorry? Please
19	identify yourself.
20	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Todd Gaziano. It's
21	we would only be ready to vote on it, Part A.
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Do we have findings of
23	fact? Do we have findings of fact and
24	recommendations?

STAFF DIRECTOR DANNENFELSER: I'm not sure 1 2 I need to clarify that point, whether we have 3 findings. 4 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, wait 5 And if we don't, why not? It is, again, the 6 most enormous amount of time we are talking about that 7 has passed here. 8 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: We have lots of 9 things that -- lots of reports that haven't been done. 10 I'm curious why you are focusing on this one. But at 11 any rate, we can take this up in the next meeting. 12 make the motion that those two reports 13 released at the same time. 14 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, Yes. I 15 want, at the next meeting, a review of all of the 16 reports, and why they are not out the door. 17 really an unprecedented situation for the Commission. 18 And I believe it is -- it is something that needs to 19 be fixed. **ADJOURN** 20 21 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. At this point, 22 I move to adjourn the meeting. Is there a second? 23 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Second. 24 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Is anyone going to 25 object?

	75
1	(No response.)
2	Okay. Any abstentions?
3	(No response.)
4	Am I correct that everyone has voted in
5	the affirmative?
6	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Sure. Why not?
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Very good.
8	COMMISSIONER YAKI: You've got to have one
9	at least.
10	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Good. Good enough for
11	me. Folks, thank you very much.
12	(Whereupon, at 12:56 p.m., the proceedings in the
13	foregoing matter were adjourned.)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	